

**REMARKS**

1. The Office Action has rejected Claims 1 – 8 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly claim the subject matter regarded as the invention. More particularly, the Office Action identifies the use of parenthetical phrases, subjective and vague terminology, and the use of more than one sentence in a claim as rendering the claims to be indefinite. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In response, Applicants would direct the Examiner's attention to the cancellation of Claims 1 – 8 from the application and the substitution of Claims 9 – 17 as a substitute therefor. Applicants respectfully submit that the bases for deeming Claims 1 – 8 have been corrected and that this rejection has been overcome. Furthermore, Applicants would direct the Examiner's attention to the addition of independent Claim 18, and dependent Claims 19 – 22, as an additional method claims defining Applicants' invention in different terms and to provide claims of variable scope. Applicants would also direct the Examiner's attention to the addition of Claims 23 – 25 as apparatus claims directed to the apparatus disclosed in the instant application for teaching the biblical doctrine of double imputation. Favorable consideration of these additional new claims is respectfully solicited.

Applicants respectfully submit that the addition of Claims 9 – 25 do not require any additional filing fees as the application presently has three independent claims and a total of 17 claims in the application, all of which are covered by the original filing fee.

In view of the amendments made above, Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

2. Applicants appreciate the indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 1 – 8.

In view of substitution of Claims 9 – 17 for rejected Claims 1 – 8, Applicants respectfully submit that the 112 rejection has been overcome and that these claims, as well as the additional new Claims 18 – 25, should be passed to allowance.

3. Applicants are submitting herewith a Letter to the Official Draftsperson presenting a new set of replacement drawings to replace the drawings presently on file in the instant application.

4. In summary, Claims 1 – 8 have been canceled, Claims 9 – 25 have been added, and Claims 9 – 25 remain in the application. Applicants believe that the claims are allowable based on the foregoing amendments. Applicants respectfully request that all objections and rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn and that all claims remaining in this case be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3/14/2005



Roy H. Timpe  
Inventor, Customer No. 42545  
366 Blandon Meadows Parkway  
Blandon, PA 19510  
Phone: 610-926-5989  
Fax: 801-601-7856